IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS EXTENSION PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC (formerly known as Enbridge Pipelines (Illinois) LLC),

Plaintiff,

VS.

LANGHAM CO., an Illinois corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 14-cv-802-DRH-PMF

<u>Order</u>

Now before the Court is Defendants' January 9, 2015 motion for summary judgment (Doc. 22) pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a).

As of today's date, plaintiff has not responded to the motion for summary judgment. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c), the Court considers the failure to respond as an admission of the merits of the motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the motion for summary judgment. This action is **DISMISSED** with **prejudice**. The Clerk of Court will enter judgment accordingly.

Davidrahandr

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 8th day of May, 2015.

Digitally signed by David R. Herndon

Date: 2015.05.08

15:52:24 -05'00'

United States District Judge

 $^{^{1}}$ Local Rule 7.1(c) provides in part: "Failure to timely file a response to a motion may, in the Court's discretion, be considered an admission of the merits of the motion."